

New Heights Elementary School



2016-17 School Improvement Plan

New Heights Elementary School - 2016-17 SIP Dinollas 1501

Pinellas - 4591 - New Heights Elementary School - 2016-17 SIP New Heights Elementary School					
New Heights Elementary School					
3901 3	37TH ST N, St Petersburg, FL 33	3714			
http	://www.tyrone-es.pinellas.k12.fl.	us			
School Demographics					
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2015-16 Title I School	2015-16 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (As Reported on Survey 3)			
Elementary School PK-5	Yes	100%			
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2015-16 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)			
K-12 General Education	No	58%			
School Grades History					

Year	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14	2012-13
Grade	С	C*	F	D

*Informational Baseline School Grade

Note: The school grades calculation was revised substantially for the 2014-15 school year to implement statutory changes made by the 2014 Legislature and incorporate the new Florida Standards Assessments. The 2014-15 school grades serve as informational baseline data that schools can use to improve in future years.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Pinellas County School Board.

SIP Authority and Template

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the Florida Department of Education's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
Differentiated Accountability	5
Current School Status	6
8-Step Planning and Problem Solving Implementation	15
Goals Summary	15
Goals Detail	15
Action Plan for Improvement	21
Appendix 1: Implementation Timeline	35
Appendix 2: Professional Development and Technical Assistance Outlines	0
Professional Development Opportunities	37
Technical Assistance Items	39
Appendix 3: Budget to Support Goals	0

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: Current School Status

Part I organizes the current status of the school around five domains inspired by the 5Essentials framework: Supportive Environment, Family and Community Involvement, Effective Leadership, Public and Collaborative Teaching, and Ambitious Instruction and Learning. Questions regarding the school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports have been embedded throughout this part to demonstrate how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs.

Part II: Needs Assessment

Part II requires the school to review performance and early warning systems data in order to develop strategic goals and associated data targets (i.e., "SMART goals") for the coming school year in context of the school's greatest strengths and needs. An online tool was developed, which includes data visualizations and processing questions to support problem identification, problem analysis and strategic goal formulation.

Part III: 8-Step Planning and Problem Solving for Implementation

Part III enables the school to develop implementation plans for its highest-priority goals. With the overview of the current state of the school in mind and the strategic goals identified through the needs assessment, the planning team engages in a facilitated planning and problem-solving process, through which they

- Define strategic goals and establish targets to be reached by successfully achieving the goals (Step 1)
- Identify resources available to support the goals and barriers that could hinder achieving those goals (Step 2)
- Select high-priority barriers they want to address initially (Step 3)
- Design implementation and monitoring plans for strategies to resolve selected barriers (Steps 4-7)
- Determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8)

Appendices

The following appendices, automatically-generated from content entered in Part III, are included in this document:

- · Appendix 1 is a timeline of all action steps and monitoring activities
- Appendix 2 is an outline of all professional development opportunities and technical assistance items
- Appendix 3 is a report of the budget needed to implement the strategies

Differentiated Accountability

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

DA Regions

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

DA Categories

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA currently A or B with no F in prior three years; charter schools; ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only currently A or B with at least one F in the prior three years
- Focus currently D
 - Planning two consecutive grades of D (i.e., DD), or a grade of F immediately followed by a grade of D in the most recent grades release (i.e., FD)
 - Implementing two consecutive grades of D in the most recent grades release preceded by a grade below C (i.e., FDD or DDD)
- Priority currently F
 - Planning declined to a grade of F in the most recent grades release and have not received a planning year or implemented a turnaround option during the previous school year
 - Implementing two consecutive grades of F (i.e., FF), or three consecutive grades below C with an F in the most recent grades release (i.e., FDF or DDF)

DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F currently A-D with at least one F in the prior three years; SIP is monitored by FDOE
- Planning Focus Planning and Priority Planning; district is planning for possible turnaround
- Implementing Focus Implementing and Priority Implementing; district is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP)

2016-17 DA Category and Statuses

DA Category	Region	RED
Monitoring Only	Southwest	Jim Browder
Former F		Turnaround Status
Yes		Former F

I. Part I: Current School Status

A. Supportive Environment

1. School Mission and Vision

a. Provide the school's mission statement

To develop high achieving and responsible citizens by building positive relationships and providing relevant and rigorous learning experiences.

b. Provide the school's vision statement

100% Student Success.

2. School Environment

a. Describe the process by which the school learns about students' cultures and builds relationships between teachers and students

Ongoing Professional Development in culturally responsive teaching methods are provided to the staff at a minimum of monthly intervals. (True Colors, AVID, STOIC). Bilingual assistants are utilized as liasons between students and parents of other languages. Student Multicultural Committee (PMAC) meets monthly.

b. Describe how the school creates an environment where students feel safe and respected before, during and after school

Use of Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports - school-wide behavioral expectations (Have Respect, Act Responsibly, Work Cooperatively, Keep Trying, & Stay Safe = HAWKS). Teaching of school-wide expectations throughout the day and on the daily news show. Booster assemblies to reteach school-wide expectations after breaks of 1 week or longer. Use of monthly celebrations to reward safe and acceptable behaviors. HAWK pride awards to celebrate students engaging in safe and respectful behaviors. Use of an anonymous reporting system for bullying and harassment. Provision of daily character education and social skills lessons through the morning news show.

c. Describe the schoolwide behavioral system in place that aids in minimizing distractions to keep students engaged during instructional time. This may include, but is not limited to, established protocols for disciplinary incidents, clear behavioral expectations, and training for school personnel to ensure the system is fairly and consistently enforced

Each K-5 classroom maintains a behavior point system whereby each student may earn up to four points per day for meeting behavioral expectations based on the PBIS school wide plan. Minor infractions are managed using a school wide process that includes not earning the point for the specified time block when a negative consequence such as in-class or out-of-class time-out is issued (see behavior flow chart). Teachers maintain records of points earned for school wide positive rewards and recognition. In this way positive and negative consequences for behavior are more consistently earned across campus.

I. Visual reminder of behavior management process

II. Sample classroom behavior chart

III. Instruction when a student in not compliant with the process

Behavior flow presented to staff and students and reviewed regularly for Tier 1 and Tier 2 behaviors. Behavior definitions and a consequence menu provided to students and staff at the beginning of the school year and as a refresher throughout the year and utilized school-wide.

Behavior data patterns reported quarterly to staff.

Implementing the 6 M's (Meaning, Models, Monitoring, Mouth, Movement, Music) with alignment to Marzano.

Monthly STOIC and PBIS walk-throughs are conducted by Leadership Team. Provision of visual materials linking school wide expectations to classroom processes are provided to teachers.

d. Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services

Utilization of student services connector to specified staff members to provide support to teachers and students regarding social-emotional needs. Small group and individual counseling services provided on an as needed basis by school counselor, social worker, and school psychologist. Behavioral supports and school-wide expectations reviewed and supported with students by student services team including: school counselor, social worker, school psychologist, behavioral specialist, behavioral coach. Student needs are reviewed monthly by the School-Based Leadership Team and weekly by the Response to Intervention - Behavior team. Students identified for check-in check out system with identified staff members.

3. Early Warning Systems

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(B)(ii)(III), (b)(1)(B)(iii)(I), and (b)(1)(I).

a. Describe the school's early warning system and provide a list of the early warning indicators used in the system

Students receive an additional thirty minutes of reading instruction daily and/or math instruction Students are assessed utilizing running records to obtain guided reading levels every three weeks. Interventions are modified based on assessment results. Additional intervention is provided via hourly teachers. The child study team meets bi-weekly to monitor attendance. Data chats are held regularly within grade level PLC's. Administration meets with teachers one on one to review data, including learning gains.

b. Provide the following data related to the school's early warning system

1. The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					G	rade	Le	vel						Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Attendance below 90 percent	4	40	30	28	29	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	164
One or more suspensions	0	3	3	2	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	63	36	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	130

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					C	Grad	le L	.ev	el					Total
muicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	2	3	19	30	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76

c. Describe all intervention strategies employed by the school to improve the academic performance of students identified by the early warning system

- Reading: One hour Reading intervention block (outside of core instruction) using Jan Richardson Guided, LLI,

District-provided repeated reading routines, Nemours, SRA-EIR, Elements of Reading (comprehension &

vocabulary), Words Our Way, Start-Up, Build-Up, Spiral-Up, iStation, iReady, FCRR

- Math: ST Math, CPALMS, Touch Math, iReady, Soar to Success

- Writing: small group instruction

- Science: Science lab, District-provided science modules, BrainPop

- Behavior: Positive Behavior Supports, Check-In/Check-Out, motivational celebrations, small groups using

SkillStreaming and Strong Kids curricula, Tier 2 & 3 behavior plans, daily point system with review of expectations, Commitment to Character (character education), morning news show - presentation of expectations and celebrating student success, HAWK pride weekly award, teacher incentives, Star Student

award at grading assemblies

- Student Engagement: Instructional Practices Inventory

- Attendance: parent conferences, notice to parents, conference with student

B. Family and Community Engagement

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa).

1. Describe how the school works at building positive relationships with families to increase involvement, including efforts to communicate the school's mission and vision, and keep parents informed of their child's progress

Title I schools use the Parent Involvement Plan (PIP) to meet the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(F).

a. Will the school use its PIP to satisfy this question?

Yes

1. PIP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PIP), which is accessible through the Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS) at <u>https://www.floridacims.org/documents/306853</u>.

2. Description

A PIP has been uploaded for this school or district - see the link above.

2. Describe the process by which the school builds and sustains partnerships with the local community for the purpose of securing and utilizing resources to support the school and student achievement

New Heights Elementary School utilizes our Family and Community Liason to establish partnerships with the community.

We currently partner with the R'Club corporation and Beaming Hope Church for resources to support the school.

We have an active PTA which is involved in planning events, securing donations, and supporting the school as needed.

C. Effective Leadership

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(H).

1. School Leadership Team

a. Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title
Austin, Lisa	Principal
Boyd, Delonda	Assistant Principal
Austin, Erica	Instructional Coach
Redfering, Kristie Jo	Other
Browne, Norris	Instructional Coach
Allen, Kari	Instructional Coach
Johannessen, Brooke	Instructional Coach
Bielicki, Marta	Guidance Counselor

b. Duties

1. Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making

The school based MTSS leadership team includes Lisa Austin-Principal, Delonda Boyd-Assistant Principal, Marta Bielicki-School Counselor, Jesse Steif-School Psychologist, Lisa Porter - Educational Diagnostician, Socorro Thomas-School Social Worker, Kristie Jo Redfering-Behavior Specialist, TBA-MTSS Behavior Coach, Sherilyn Gomez-SLP, Kendra Heater-VE Resource, Joe Browne – MTSS Academic Coach, Kari Allen- English/Language Arts (ELA) Coach, Brooke Johanssen- ELA Coach, Erica Austin - Math Coach, Merit Saad-Math Coach

Facilitator–Lisa Austin

Recorder-Sherilyn Gomez

Time Keeper-Socorro Thomas

Data Managers-Jesse Steif, Kristie Jo Redfering, Joe Browne

MTSS Academic Coach: Facilitates team discussions.

Principal and Assistant Principal: Provide a common vision for the use of data-based decision making. Ensure the provision of professional development to support MTSS implementation. Psychologist, Social Worker, Behavior Specialist, Behavior Coach, MTSS Academic Coach, ELA Coaches, Math Coaches, Guidance Counselor: Work with SBLT to identify students in need of additional interventions; help to design these interventions; support teachers implementing the interventions, and insure fidelity.

MTSS Academic Coach: Coordinates Tier 3 intervention conferences. Ensures compliance with district requirements. Organizes Tier 2 and Tier 3 progress monitoring data.

2. Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact

The SBLT analyze school-wide data (Running Record, Common Assessment) to determine the effectiveness of core instruction. Classrooms with 70% or more of the students not meeting expectations in specific subject areas will be provided professional development to improve core instruction within that classroom. Coaches and school-based personnel will be utilized to provide instructional assistance, modeling, and mentoring in specific subject areas to teachers in need of core subject training. PLCs will review Tier 2 (small group instruction) results in Reading and Math every 8 weeks to determine the effectiveness of instruction and whether student(s) require more/less assistance or a new intervention. Individual teachers, PLC members, and/or members of the SBLT may identify students in need of individualized assistance and refer the student to the Academic Intervention Team for review. This team is comprised of the School Psychologist, Reading Coaches,

Math Coaches, MTSS Academic Coach, MTSS Behavior Coach, Learning Specialist, and Diagnostician. The team will meet monthly to review Tier 2 data and individual data. Recommendations from the monthly meeting will be provided to interventionists and classroom teachers. Support, interventions, and observation will be provided by the Academic Intervention Team.

Staff have been trained in the academic intervention process during the pre-school training days and are provided updates and refreshed knowledge via staff meetings and the administration's weekly staff update. The SBLT will review processes on a monthly basis and modify the MTSS processes to best identify school-wide, small group, and individualized needs. The SBLT will utilize a PDSA model to maximize resources at the core instructional level and minimize the number of resources used for Tier 2 and Tier 3. ESE, ESOL, Speech/Language, Social Work, and School Counselor resources will also be used during the PDSA sessions to provide a balanced team to identify all areas of need and intervene in a swift and efficient manner.

Title I, funds are utilized, in conjunction with various other federal, state, and local funds, to support high quality

instruction, parental engagement, and professional development for highest student achievement. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through collaboration of staff in various offices and departments, including: School and Community Support, Teaching and Learning, Assessment, Accountability, and Research, Professional Development, Strategic Communications, Strategic Partnerships, Human Resources, and Transportation. Title I staff monitor expenditures of Title I, funds to ensure that they supplement rather than supplant other funds available to schools. New Heights has also partnered with the R'Club Corporation to support our learning community.

2. School Advisory Council (SAC)

a. Membership

Identify the name and stakeholder group for each member of the SAC.:

Name	Stakeholder Group
Randy Taylor	Parent
Art O'Hare	Business/Community
Luz Alvarez	Parent
Peyton Hawk	Teacher
Polly Lamb	Parent
Lisa Austin	Principal
Norkita O'Neal	Business/Community

b. Duties

1. Provide a description of the SAC's involvement with the following activities, as required by section 1001.452(2), Florida Statutes

a. Evaluation of last year's school improvement plan

Evaluation of last year's School Improvement Plan showed that we did not meet our goal of 70% proficiency rate in Reading, Math, and Science. SAC Committee was informed that we are keeping the same goals for this year.

b. Development of this school improvement plan

Members of the SAC assisted in the development and writing of the SIP.

c. Preparation of the school's annual budget and plan

TDE's provided to teachers for collaborative planning and data analysis. Substitutes - 3, 950.00

2. Describe the use of school improvement funds allocated last year, including the amount budgeted for each project

SIP funds will support the initiatives taken to implement professional development in the Common Core State Standards

3. Verify that the school is in compliance with section 1001.452, Florida Statutes, regarding the establishment requirements and duties of the SAC Yes

a. If the school is not in compliance, describe the measures being implemented to meet SAC requirements

3. Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

a. Membership

Identify the name and position title for each member of the school-based LLT or similar group, if applicable.:

Name	Title
Austin, Lisa	Principal
Boyd, Delonda	Assistant Principal
Allen, Kari	Instructional Coach
Johannessen, Brooke	Instructional Coach
Browne, Norris	Instructional Coach

b. Duties

1. Describe how the LLT or similar group promotes literacy within the school, if applicable

The LLT at New Heights Elementary School provides support for text complexity in classrooms; provides support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension; provides support for implementation of Core State Standards for Literacy in all subject areas; makes decisions on referendum spending; works with the parent involvement committee to facilitate schoolwide literacy events (literacy week, Read Across America, Reading Counts, Winter break/Spring break challenges, Reading Under the Stars)

D. Public and Collaborative Teaching

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(D).

1. Describe the school's strategies to encourage positive working relationships between teachers, including collaborative planning and instruction

At New Heights Elementary School, grade level teams meet in weekly planning PLC's; they meet weekly with Literacy and Math coaches; participate in monthly data reviews (academic, behavior, and attendance); teaching and learning meetings incorporate culturally relevant/AVID strategies to establish and build relationships among each staff and staff/students; participate in team building activities at staff meetings; quarterly socials are planned for staff

2. Describe the school's strategies to recruit, develop and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school

Positions are posted, then highly qualified staff meet and interview candidates; a thorough background check is utilized to include work history, conduct, and education.

Effective teachers are recognized for accomplishments through "high five" notes from administration, as well as monthly employee recognitions.

"Glow and Grow" feedback is provided to teachers following walkthroughs by the leadership team.

3. Describe the school's teacher mentoring program, including the rationale for pairings and planned mentoring activities

New Heights Elementary School's Site based Mentor/Advisor has been identified. Monthly meetings have been established with the Site based Advisor and new teachers. Each new teacher is assigned a grade level mentor and/or school wide mentor. Support is offered through observations of instruction and providing feedback; Mentors plan lessons with mentee- Connecting lesson activities to content standards, discussing student progress and analyzing student work, and modeling or co-teaching lessons.

E. Ambitious Instruction and Learning

1. Instructional Programs and Strategies

a. Instructional Programs

1. Describe how the school ensures its core instructional programs and materials are aligned to Florida's standards

At New Heights Elementary School, administration reviews teachers' lesson plans weekly. The expectation is that standards are addressed and documented in lesson plans, using adopted resources.

Core instruction is aligned to curriculum guides. Administrative walkthroughs are utilized using the district "At a Glance" guide.

b. Instructional Strategies

1. Describe how the school uses data to provide and differentiate instruction to meet the diverse needs of students. Provide examples of how instruction is modified or supplemented to assist students having difficulty attaining the proficient or advanced level on state assessments

Effective use of formative assessments to improve instruction is utilized in all classrooms. Differentiated instruction occurs based on formative assessment data.

An additional 30 minutes of Literacy Instruction outside the core instruction is implemented. Jan Richardson guided reading groups are utilized to differentiate instruction.

Differentiated lessons on istation are implemented.

Math interventions (Soar to Success, Go Math, ST Math) are utilized in classrooms.

Common planning time routines are established and clear goals are communicated to include data analysis.

A coaching cycle system is utilized to support best practices in instructional delivery based on trends. The Extended Learning Program focuses on literacy, math, and science (tutoring or enrichment) Utilization of goals and scales in evident in classrooms.

2. Provide the following information for each strategy the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help enrich and accelerate the curriculum:

Strategy: Extended School Day

Minutes added to school year: 6,000

ELP - after school STEM, Math, Reading, and Writing tutoring as well as enrichment is implemented.

Strategy Rationale

Extended learning programs supports student achievement by extending the learning day and meeting students' academic needs.

Strategy Purpose(s)

- Core Academic Instruction
- Enrichment

Person(s) responsible for monitoring implementation of the strategy Austin, Lisa, austinli@pcsb.org

Data that is or will be collected and how it is analyzed to determine effectiveness of the strategy

OPM(assessments) and observation at various intervals.

2. Student Transition and Readiness

a. PreK-12 Transition

The school's response to this question may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(G).

1. Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another

Kindergarten Teachers hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills are emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics are presented. Materials are available to parents, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference. Spring Into the Future night for all grade levels held in May.

b. College and Career Readiness

1. Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations

Great American Teach-In-businesses/parents invited to participate. AVID strategies/AVID Night-Middle/High school students invited to speak to students; college promotion by staff; evidence of college community throughout the campus Math night at a local grocery store occurs in the Spring. Junior Achievement lessons emphasizing economics and community are implemented through the

Junior Achievement lessons emphasizing economics and community are implemented through the JA program once a year.

2. Identify the career and technical education programs available to students and industry certifications that may be earned through those respective programs

3. Describe efforts the school has taken to integrate career and technical education with academic courses (e.g., industrial biotechnology) to support student achievement

STEM and Robotics club - ELP Builders Club - ELP

4. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>, as required by section 1008.37(4), Florida Statutes

II. Needs Assessment

The school's completion of this section may satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(A).

A. Problem Identification

1. Data to Support Problem Identification

b. Data Uploads

Data uploads are not required by the Florida Department of Education but are offered as a tool for the needs assessment. In this section, the school may upload files of locally available data charts and graphs being used as evidence of need.

The following documents were submitted as evidence for this section:

New_Heights_ElementarySWD.pptx

Students with Disabilities and ELL students

New Heights Data.pptx

Math Cycle Data

2. Problem Identification Summary

This section is not required by the Florida Department of Education but is provided as an opportunity for the school to summarize the points of strength and areas of need that have been identified in the data.

Our students in the ELL program, our Students with Disabilities, and our African American students are performing below grade level in Math and Reading

B. Problem Analysis Summary

This section is not required by the Florida Department of Education but is provided as an opportunity for the school to summarize the underlying "why"? or root causes for the areas of need identified in the data, as determined by situational awareness of, and research conducted by, the stakeholders involved in the needs assessment.

C. Strategic Goals

School Improvement Goals

The following key is intended to help readers understand how the sections of this document correspond to the steps of the 8-step planning and problem-solving framework used in the School Improvement Plan. The Quick Key numbers can help registered users go directly to the point of entry for any given goal, barrier and strategy within the online survey.

Problem Solving Key

B =

S = Strategy Barrier

S123456 = Quick Key 1 = Problem Solving Step

Strategic Goals Summary

Increase proficiency rates in MAth to 70% for all students as measured by FSA G1.

G = Goal

- Increase Proficiency rates in Reading to 70%, for all students as measured by FSA. G2.
- Increase proficiency rates to 70% in Reading and 70% in Math of African American students as G3. measured by FSA
- STEM Goal: Increase proficiency rates in Science to 70% for 4th grade students as measured G4. by the district common assessment and to 70% for 5th grade students as measured by Science FCAT 2.0
- Reduce the percentage of students who evidence 3+ Early Warning indicators to no more than G5. 8% as measured by Performance Matters report to include attendance, discipline referrals, retention, state test performance, course failure, mobility. (2014-2015 was 10% of students --87/859)

Strategic Goals Detail

For each strategic goal, this section lists the associated targets (i.e., "SMART goals"), resources available to support movement toward the goal, barriers to achieving the goal, and the plan for monitoring progress toward the goal

G1. Increase proficiency rates in MAth to 70% for all students as measured by FSA [1a]

🔍 G079830

Targets Supported 1b

Indicator	Annual Target
FSA Mathematics - Achievement	70.0
 Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal 3 Core instruction not reaching all students 	
Resources Available to Support the Goal 2District Math Coach	
Professional Development	
MTSS Coach Data chats	
Title I teachers offering intervention	
Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G1. 8	
Teachers receiving small group interventions will be monitored the	rough ST Math program

Person Responsible

Erica Austin

Schedule Biweekly, from 8/10/2016 to 6/6/2017

Evidence of Completion

ST Math data will be monitored and shared with teachers.

G2. Increase Proficiency rates in Reading to 70%, for all students as measured by FSA. 1a

🔍 G077387

Targets Supported 1b

	Indicator	Annual Target
ELA/	Reading Gains	70.0

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal 3

 Core instruction is not reaching grade level proficiency targets in English/Language Arts Reading/Writing

Resources Available to Support the Goal 2

- Standards based Professional Development provided by district
- Additional personnel (hourly teachers to provide interventions)
- District Literacy Coach
- Extended Reading Intervention time
- Embedded professional development

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G2. 🔳

FSA English Language Arts and Mathematics and FCAT 2.0 Science

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Quarterly, from 8/9/2016 to 6/6/2017

Evidence of Completion

Student performance data

G3. Increase proficiency rates to 70% in Reading and 70% in Math of African American students as measured by FSA 1a

🔍 G077388

Targets Supported 1b

Indicator	Annual Target
ELA/Reading Gains	62.0
FSA Mathematics - Achievement	66.0

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

- Core instruction is not reaching grade level proficiency targets for African American students in Reading.
- Core instruction is not reaching grade level proficiency targets for African American students in Mathematics

Resources Available to Support the Goal 2

- Standards based Professional Development provided by district
- Additional personnel (hourly teachers to provide interventions)
- District Math Coach
- District Literacy Coach
- Extended Reading Intervention time
- Extended Math Intervention time
- Embedded professional development
- Culturally Responsive Instruction Professional Development

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G3. 🔳

FSA, Common Assessments

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Quarterly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Student performance data

G4. STEM Goal: Increase proficiency rates in Science to 70% for 4th grade students as measured by the district common assessment and to 70% for 5th grade students as measured by Science FCAT 2.0 1a

🔍 G077389

Targets Supported 1b

Indicator	Annual Target		
Science Achievement District Assessment	70.0		
FCAT 2.0 Science Proficiency	70.0		

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

• Core instruction is not reaching grade level proficiency targets in science.

Resources Available to Support the Goal 2

- STEM Academy
- Science Lab
- Just In Time Science Coach

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G4. 🔳

District Science Common Assessment data

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule Every 6 Weeks, from 9/4/2015 to 5/31/2016

Evidence of Completion

District Science Common Assessments in grades 4 and 5

G5. Reduce the percentage of students who evidence 3+ Early Warning indicators to no more than 8% as measured by Performance Matters report to include attendance, discipline referrals, retention, state test performance, course failure, mobility. (2014-2015 was 10% of students -- 87/859) 1a

🔍 G077390

Indicator	Annual Target
Students exhibiting two or more EWS indicators (Total)	8.0

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

• Students with 3 or more EWS are not responding to core strategies for academic, behavioral, psychological, and social engagement.

Resources Available to Support the Goal 2

- Psychologist present 4 days/week
- · Bi-weekly Child Study Team meetings
- · School-wide discipline plan/guidelines for success
- Use of Positive Behavior Support program
- Use of Commitment to Character program
- Review of guidelines for success and character expectations on daily news show
- · Monthly reward/celebrations for meeting behavior expectations
- Utilize behavior coach for staff professional development/coaching

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G5. 🛽 8

SBLT and committee reviews of SIP goals

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule Monthly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Early Warning Indicator reports from Performance Matters

Action Plan for Improvement

For each strategy selected in the plan to reduce a targeted barrier to a strategic goal, this section lists the rationale for that strategy (i.e., why the school believes it will reduce the barrier) and the action steps that have been identified as necessary to implementing the strategy, including details such as the point person, timing and duration, and evidence of completion. At the end of each set of action steps is the plan for monitoring the implementation and effectiveness of the respective strategy.

Problem Solving Key

B =

Barrier

S = Strategy

1 = Problem Solving Step 🔍 S123456 = Quick Key

G1. Increase proficiency rates in MAth to 70% for all students as measured by FSA 🚺

G = Goal

🔍 G079830

G1.B1 Core instruction not reaching all students 2

🔍 B210537

G1.B1.S1 Implement additional interventions for students not getting the basic operations

Strategy Rationale

Small group interventions will give students a more targeted approach to learning.

Action Step 1 5

Assign students to small group interventions

Person Responsible

Erica Austin

Schedule

Biweekly, from 8/9/2016 to 6/6/2017

Evidence of Completion

Math Coach will work with teachers to make sure they are receiving small group interventions in class

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1 👩

Students in small group intervention will receive Formative Assessments for monitoring

Person Responsible

Erica Austin

Schedule

Biweekly, from 8/9/2016 to 6/6/2017

Evidence of Completion

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G1.B1.S1 🔽

Students will receive Cycle Assessments to monitor the effectiveness of instruction.

Person Responsible

Norris Browne

Schedule

Monthly, from 8/10/2016 to 6/6/2017

Evidence of Completion

Results from Cycle Assessments will be analyzed and shared with teachers in PLC's.

G2. Increase Proficiency rates in Reading to 70%, for all students as measured by FSA.

🔍 G077387

G2.B1 Core instruction is not reaching grade level proficiency targets in English/Language Arts Reading/ Writing 2

🔍 B202854

G2.B1.S1 Provide rigorous standards based instruction using the Marzano framework in English/ Language Arts Reading to all students 4

🔍 S214612

Strategy Rationale

When provided standards-based instruction students will meet proficiency targets

Action Step 1 5

Engage in collaborative planning of standards-based instruction for English/Language Arts Reading during professional learning communities utilizing a problem-solving/data-based inquiry model. Provide additional opportunities through professional development

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

PLC notes and Coaches' logs

Action Step 2 5

Implementation of Marzano Framework, Domain 1, Classroom Strategies and Behaviors

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Daily, from 9/4/2015 to 5/31/2016

Evidence of Completion

Walkthroughs, Observations, Lesson Plans

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B1.S1 👩

Utilize iObservation data collection tool to evaluate the implementation of standards-based instruction and Marzano framework, domain 1, classroom strategies and behaviors in English/ Language Arts Reading

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Complete iObservation tools with feedback provided to teachers

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G2.B1.S1 🔽

Student performance on English/Language Arts module assessments (formative), I-Station Indicators of Progress (formative), and the Florida Standards Assessment (summative)

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Biweekly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Student performance data

G2.B1.S2 Plan for differentiated instruction using a Guided Reading approach during the uninterrupted English/Language Arts Reading block

🔍 S214613

Strategy Rationale

When provided standards-based instruction students will meet proficiency targets

Action Step 1 5

Teachers submit lesson plans to administration for review based on rubric criteria

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Weekly, from 8/9/2016 to 6/7/2017

Evidence of Completion

Teacher submitted lessons plans to administration

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B1.S2 6

Weekly lesson plans submitted to administration

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Weekly, from 8/9/2016 to 6/6/2017

Evidence of Completion

Teacher submitted lesson plans, lesson plan rubric

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G2.B1.S2 🔽

Student performance on English/Language Arts module assessments (formative), Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (formative), and the Florida Standards Assessment (summative)

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Biweekly, from 8/9/2016 to 6/6/2017

Evidence of Completion

Student performance data

G3. Increase proficiency rates to 70% in Reading and 70% in Math of African American students as measured by FSA 1

🔍 G077388

G3.B1 Core instruction is not reaching grade level proficiency targets for African American students in Reading. 2

🔍 B202857

G3.B1.S1 Provide rigorous standards based instruction in all academic areas incorporating disaggregated data of African American student performance to plan instruction

🔍 S214616

Strategy Rationale

When provided standards-based instruction that is differentiated to meet the needs of African-American students, they will meet grade-level proficiency targets

Action Step 1 5

Engage in collaborative planning of standards-based instruction for all academic areas during professional learning communities utilizing a problem-solving/data-based inquiry model incorporating disaggregated data of African American student performance

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

PLC notes and Coaches' logs

Action Step 2 5

Professional Development for all staff focused on Performance Matters disaggregating data by grade level, classroom and subgroup. The intended outcome is to increase staff ability to take the data and utilize it to differentiate instruction.

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Quarterly, from 12/1/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Staff sign in sheets, ongoing data chats

Action Step 3 5

Staff participating in coaching cycles with academic coaches to effectively utilize data to differentiate instruction.

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Biweekly, from 9/1/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Meeting minutes, coaching logs.

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B1.S1 6

Utilize iObservation data collection tool to evaluate the implementation of standards-based instruction in all content areas.

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Completed iObservation tool with feedback provided to teachers

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B1.S1 6

Teacher lesson plans

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Teacher submitted plans to administration, coaching logs

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G3.B1.S1 7

SBLT data review meetings, individual teacher and grade level data chats

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Biweekly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Disaggregated African American student performance on all content area assessments (formative) and the Florida Standards Assessment (summative), MTSS Coach logs, meeting minutes

G3.B2 Core instruction is not reaching grade level proficiency targets for African American students in Mathematics 2

🔍 B202858

G3.B2.S1 Provide rigorous standards-based instruction in Mathematics incorporating disaggregated data of African-American student performance to plan instruction

🔍 S214617

Strategy Rationale

When provided standards-based instruction that is differentiated to meet the needs of African-American students, they will meet grade-level proficiency targets

Action Step 1 5

Engage in collaborative planning of standards-based instruction for Mathematics during professional learning communities utilizing a problem-solving/data-based inquiry model incorporating disaggregated data of African American student performance

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/3/2014 to 6/3/2015

Evidence of Completion

PLC notes and Coaches' logs

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B2.S1 6

Utilize iObservation data collection tool to evaluate the implementation of standards-based instruction in Mathematics

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/1/2014 to 6/3/2015

Evidence of Completion

Completed iObservation tool with feedback provided to teachers

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G3.B2.S1 🔽

Disaggregated African American student performance on Math common assessments (formative) and the Florida Standards Assessment (summative)

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Biweekly, from 9/8/2014 to 5/25/2015

Evidence of Completion

Student performance data

G4. STEM Goal: Increase proficiency rates in Science to 70% for 4th grade students as measured by the district common assessment and to 70% for 5th grade students as measured by Science FCAT 2.0 \bigcirc G077389

G4.B1 Core instruction is not reaching grade level proficiency targets in science.

🔍 B202859

G4.B1.S1 Implement the STEM Academy for 26 weeks with 20 students in fourth and fifth grades 4

Strategy Rationale

The STEM Academy increases opportunities to conduct hands-on STEM investigations, explore careers in STEM, and improve teambuilding and leadership skills

Action Step 1 5

Fourth and Fifth grade students will be identified and will attend the STEM Academy for 26 weeks.

Person Responsible

Schedule

Weekly, from 10/5/2015 to 4/25/2016

Evidence of Completion

STEM Academy Pretests and Posttest; attendance records

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G4.B1.S1 6

STEM Academy pretests and postests; attendance records

Person Responsible

Schedule

Weekly, from 10/5/2015 to 4/25/2016

Evidence of Completion

Results from pretests and posttests will be analyzed and documentation of attendance will be monitored

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G4.B1.S1 7

Data analysis of STEM Academy pretests and postests

Person Responsible

Schedule

Monthly, from 10/5/2015 to 4/25/2016

Evidence of Completion

STEM Academy pretests and posttests

G5. Reduce the percentage of students who evidence 3+ Early Warning indicators to no more than 8% as measured by Performance Matters report to include attendance, discipline referrals, retention, state test performance, course failure, mobility. (2014-2015 was 10% of students -- 87/859)

🔍 G077390

G5.B1 Students with 3 or more EWS are not responding to core strategies for academic, behavioral, psychological, and social engagement.

🔍 B202860

G5.B1.S1 Implement a Check In/Check Out program targeted at moderate-risk

🤦 S214619

Strategy Rationale

Use of an evidence-based, individualized instruction program will reinforce and reteach core behavioral expectations

Action Step 1 5

Identify staff members and students to participate in the program and assign rosters

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Daily, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Roster of staff and assigned students

Action Step 2 5

Engage in collaborative planning of consistent performance indicators and feedback for students

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Staff-student roster, minutes from weekly meeting

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G5.B1.S1 👩

Assigned staff will maintain a log of who was seen and when

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

On 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Staff members are responsible for monitoring and documenting student contact and progress

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G5.B1.S1 🔽

Disaggregated Office Discipline Referral (ODRs) data reviewed monthly by School-Based Leadership Team

Person Responsible

Lisa Austin

Schedule

Monthly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

Evidence of Completion

Notes/agenda from School-Based Leadership Team meetings

IV. Implementation Timeline

Source	Task, Action Step or Monitoring Activity	Who	Start Date (where applicable)	Deliverable or Evidence of Completion	Due Date/End Date	
2014						
G3.B2.S1.MA1	Utilize iObservation data collection tool to evaluate the implementation of standards-based	Austin, Lisa	9/1/2014	Completed iObservation tool with feedback provided to teachers	6/3/2015 weekly	
G3.B2.S1.A1	Engage in collaborative planning of standards-based instruction for Mathematics during professional	Austin, Lisa	9/3/2014	PLC notes and Coaches' logs	6/3/2015 weekly	
G3.B2.S1.MA1	Disaggregated African American student performance on Math common assessments (formative) and the	Austin, Lisa	9/8/2014	Student performance data	5/25/2015 biweekly	
		2015				
G3.B1.S1.A3	Staff participating in coaching cycles with academic coaches to effectively utilize data to	Austin, Lisa	9/1/2015	Meeting minutes, coaching logs.	5/27/2016 biweekly	
G2.B1.S1.A2	Implementation of Marzano Framework, Domain 1, Classroom Strategies and Behaviors	Austin, Lisa	9/4/2015	Walkthroughs, Observations, Lesson Plans	5/31/2016 daily	
G4.MA1	District Science Common Assessment data	Austin, Lisa	9/4/2015	District Science Common Assessments in grades 4 and 5	5/31/2016 every-6-weeks	
G3.B1.S1.MA2	Teacher lesson plans	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Teacher submitted plans to administration, coaching logs	5/27/2016 weekly	
G2.B1.S1.A1	Engage in collaborative planning of standards-based instruction for English/Language Arts Reading	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	PLC notes and Coaches' logs	5/27/2016 weekly	
G5.MA1	SBLT and committee reviews of SIP goals	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Early Warning Indicator reports from Performance Matters	5/27/2016 monthly	
G3.B1.S1.MA1	SBLT data review meetings, individual teacher and grade level data chats	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Disaggregated African American student performance on all content area assessments (formative) and the Florida Standards Assessment (summative), MTSS Coach logs, meeting minutes	5/27/2016 biweekly	
G3.B1.S1.MA1	Utilize iObservation data collection tool to evaluate the implementation of standards-based	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Completed iObservation tool with feedback provided to teachers	5/27/2016 weekly	
G2.B1.S1.MA1	Utilize iObservation data collection tool to evaluate the implementation of standards-based	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Complete iObservation tools with feedback provided to teachers	5/27/2016 weekly	
G3.B1.S1.A1	Engage in collaborative planning of standards-based instruction for all academic areas during	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	PLC notes and Coaches' logs	5/27/2016 weekly	
G5.B1.S1.A2	Engage in collaborative planning of consistent performance indicators and feedback for students	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Staff-student roster, minutes from weekly meeting	5/27/2016 weekly	
G2.B1.S1.MA1	Student performance on English/ Language Arts module assessments (formative), I-Station Indicators	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Student performance data	5/27/2016 biweekly	
G5.B1.S1.A1	Identify staff members and students to participate in the program and assign rosters	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Roster of staff and assigned students	5/27/2016 daily	
G5.B1.S1.MA1	Disaggregated Office Discipline Referral (ODRs) data reviewed monthly by School-Based Leadership	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Notes/agenda from School-Based Leadership Team meetings	5/27/2016 monthly	

Pinellas - 4591	- New Heights Elementary School - 2016-17 SIP
	New Heights Elementary School

Source	Task, Action Step or Monitoring Activity	Who	Start Date (where applicable)	Deliverable or Evidence of Completion	Due Date/End Date
G5.B1.S1.MA1	Assigned staff will maintain a log of who was seen and when	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Staff members are responsible for monitoring and documenting student contact and progress	5/27/2016 one-time
G3.MA1	FSA, Common Assessments	Austin, Lisa	9/7/2015	Student performance data	5/27/2016 quarterly
G4.B1.S1.MA1	STEM Academy pretests and postests; attendance records		10/5/2015	Results from pretests and posttests will be analyzed and documentation of attendance will be monitored	4/25/2016 weekly
G4.B1.S1.A1	Fourth and Fifth grade students will be identified and will attend the STEM Academy for 26 weeks.		10/5/2015	STEM Academy Pretests and Posttest; attendance records	4/25/2016 weekly
G4.B1.S1.MA1	Data analysis of STEM Academy pretests and postests		10/5/2015	STEM Academy pretests and posttests	4/25/2016 monthly
G3.B1.S1.A2	Professional Development for all staff focused on Performance Matters disaggregating data by grade	Austin, Lisa	12/1/2015	Staff sign in sheets, ongoing data chats	5/27/2016 quarterly
		2016			
G2.B1.S2.MA1	Student performance on English/ Language Arts module assessments (formative), Florida Assessment for	Austin, Lisa	8/9/2016	Student performance data	6/6/2017 biweekly
G2.MA1	FSA English Language Arts and Mathematics and FCAT 2.0 Science	Austin, Lisa	8/9/2016	Student performance data	6/6/2017 quarterly
G2.B1.S2.MA1	Weekly lesson plans submitted to administration	Austin, Lisa	8/9/2016	Teacher submitted lesson plans, lesson plan rubric	6/6/2017 weekly
G1.B1.S1.MA1	Students in small group intervention will receive Formative Assessments for monitoring	Austin, Erica	8/9/2016		6/6/2017 biweekly
G1.B1.S1.A1	Assign students to small group interventions	Austin, Erica	8/9/2016	Math Coach will work with teachers to make sure they are receiving small group interventions in class	6/6/2017 biweekly
G2.B1.S2.A1	Teachers submit lesson plans to administration for review based on rubric criteria	Austin, Lisa	8/9/2016	Teacher submitted lessons plans to administration	6/7/2017 weekly
G1.B1.S1.MA1	Students will receive Cycle Assessments to monitor the effectiveness of instruction.	Browne, Norris	8/10/2016	Results from Cycle Assessments will be analyzed and shared with teachers in PLC's.	6/6/2017 monthly
G1.MA1	Teachers receiving small group interventions will be monitored through ST Math program	Austin, Erica	8/10/2016	ST Math data will be monitored and shared with teachers.	6/6/2017 biweekly

V. Professional Development Opportunities

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

G2. Increase Proficiency rates in Reading to 70%, for all students as measured by FSA.

G2.B1 Core instruction is not reaching grade level proficiency targets in English/Language Arts Reading/ Writing

G2.B1.S1 Provide rigorous standards based instruction using the Marzano framework in English/ Language Arts Reading to all students

PD Opportunity 1

Engage in collaborative planning of standards-based instruction for English/Language Arts Reading during professional learning communities utilizing a problem-solving/data-based inquiry model. Provide additional opportunities through professional development

Facilitator

Administration, Literacy coach

Participants

Instructional staff

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/7/2015 to 5/27/2016

PD Opportunity 2

Implementation of Marzano Framework, Domain 1, Classroom Strategies and Behaviors

Facilitator

Administrators

Participants

Instructional Staff

Schedule

Daily, from 9/4/2015 to 5/31/2016

G3. Increase proficiency rates to 70% in Reading and 70% in Math of African American students as measured by FSA

G3.B1 Core instruction is not reaching grade level proficiency targets for African American students in Reading.

G3.B1.S1 Provide rigorous standards based instruction in all academic areas incorporating disaggregated data of African American student performance to plan instruction

PD Opportunity 1

Professional Development for all staff focused on Performance Matters disaggregating data by grade level, classroom and subgroup. The intended outcome is to increase staff ability to take the data and utilize it to differentiate instruction.

Facilitator

Jennie Wellings/ Joe Browne

Participants

Staff

Schedule

Quarterly, from 12/1/2015 to 5/27/2016

VI. Technical Assistance Items

Technical Assistance opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

	VII. Budget					
	Budget Data					
1 G1.B1.S1.A1 Assign students to small group interventions						\$0.00
2 G2.B1.S1.A1 Engage in collaborative planning of standards-based instruction for English/ Language Arts Reading during professional learning communities utilizing a problem-solving/data-based inquiry model. Provide additional opportunities through professional development					\$3,950.00	
	Function	nction Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2016-17
			4591 - New Heights Elementary School	School Improvement Funds		\$3,950.00
Notes: TDE to analyze data						
3 G2.B1.S1.A2 Implementation of Marzano Framework, Domain 1, Classroom Strategies and Behaviors					\$0.00	
4 G2.B1.S2.A1 Teachers submit lesson plans to administration for review based on rubric criteria					\$0.00	
5 G3.B1.S1.A1 Engage in collaborative planning of standards-based instruction for all academic areas during professional learning communities utilizing a problem-solving/data-based inquiry model incorporating disaggregated data of African American student performance					\$0.00	
6 G3.B1.S1.A2 Professional Development for all staff focused on Performance Matters disaggregating data by grade level, classroom and subgroup. The intended outcome is to increase staff ability to take the data and utilize it to differentiate instruction.					\$0.00	
7 G3.B1.S1.A3 Staff participating in coaching cycles with academic coaches to effectively utilize data to differentiate instruction.					vely	\$0.00
8 G3.B2.S1.A1 Engage in collaborative planning of standards-based instruction for Solving/data-based inquiry model incorporating disaggregated data of African American student performance					\$0.00	
9 G4.B1.S1.A1 Fourth and Fifth grade students will be identified and will attend the STEM Academy for 26 weeks.				\$0.00		
10 G5.B1.S1.A1 Identify staff members and students to participate in the program and assign rosters				\$0.00		
11 G5.B1.S1.A2 Engage in collaborative planning of consistent performance indicators and feedback for students				\$0.00		
Total:				\$3,950.00		